Network Reconstruction - Bayesian Sequential Inference of Sparse Network Connectivity

GHAMTON VERSITY

THOMAS J. WATSON SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING AND APPLIED SCIENCE

Abstract

Most existing work assumes the network structure is known or is readily available. However, the network topology could be radically altered due to an adversarial attack or a power outage. In this work, we propose a novel Bayesian sequential learning algorithm to adaptively reconstruct network connectivity. A sophisticated method of sequentially selecting the nodes is implemented using the betweennode expected improvement. This algorithm has been applied to real network data: IEEE118-Bus System, and Barabasi-Albert network for m = 1 and m = 2. The performance is measured and compared against randomly selecting the nodes. Our algorithm is an improvement over traditional reconstruction efforts when faced with limited data and is robust to varying noise levels.



Contact

Changqing Cheng State University of New York at Binghamton 4400 Vestal Parkway East, Vestal, NY 13902 ccheng@binghanmton.edu

Jun Kataoka, Jayanth Sivakumar, Eric Peña, Yiming Che, Changqing Cheng* State University of New York at Binghamton

Reconstruction Error: • N = 118, M = 60 for both networks Point probability mass • Conducted 30 experiments, average plotted centered at spike TP Edge FP Edge Not Reconstructed Edg $z_{ii})\delta(B_{ii}) + \overline{z_{ii}}\mathcal{N}(B_{ii}|0,\tau_0)$ Selected Nodes Not Selected Nodes Latent binary Controls the width of the variable slab Fig. 1: Reconstruction of IEEE-118 for subset of 60 nodes with noise $\sigma = 0.03$ Ξ 0.4 $s \in V \setminus \theta_k$ RndSS Ē 75Number of reconstructed node Fig. 3: Recon. Error for BA m = 2 with noise $\sigma = 0.05$ selection in terms of accuracy and robustness particularly in a host of military operation scenarios scenarios

Methodology Spike and Slab Priors [2, 3] • A sparse Spike-and-Slab prior distribution is placed on all edges • The connectivity learned from the reconstructed nodes will be incorporated as a prior to select the next node and will then update Expected Improvement [4, 5] $EI(s) = E_{Y \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_s, \sigma_s^2)}[I(s)] = E_{\eta \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)}[I(s)]$ $EI(s) = \left(\mu_s - f(\theta_k)\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\mu_s - f(\theta_k)}{\sigma_s}\right) + \sigma_s \gamma\left(\frac{\mu_s - f(\theta_k)}{\sigma_s}\right)$ $f(\theta_{i}, \cup s) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} ||P_{i} - \phi \widehat{B}_{i}||_{2} - MSE(\theta_{k} \cup s)$ **Sequential Network Reconstruction** • In this case, owing to significant $p(x_{7,3})$ and negligible $p(x_{7,6})$,

- the prior knowledge

$$p(\boldsymbol{B}_{i}|\boldsymbol{z}_{i}) = \prod_{j=1}^{N} p(B_{ij}|\boldsymbol{z}_{ij}) = \prod_{j=1}^{N} [(1-z)^{N}]$$

- $z_{ij} \sim Bernoulli(z_{ij} | \gamma_{ij})$
- γ_{ij} is a hyperparameter that controls B_{ij}
- If $\gamma_{ij} = 1$ then $z_{ij} = 1$ and $B_{ij} \neq 0$



Improvement by adding the node *s* is given by:

$$\mu_{s} = f(\theta_{k} \cup s) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} ||P_{i} - \phi|$$

Next node to select (max EI): $s_{k+1} = \operatorname{argmax} EI(s)$





- When node 7 is first selected and evaluated, $p(x_{7,3})$ and $p(x_{7,6})$ are obtained via Spike and Slab
- In the undirected network setting, $p(x_{3,7})$ and $p(x_{6,7})$ also becomes available
- addition of node 7 diminishes the utility to select node 3
- Thus, node 6 is favored in the set through expected improvement

References

- 2018, pp. 9884–9895

1. X. Han, Z. Shen, W.-X. Wang, and Z. Di, "Robust reconstruction of complex networks from sparse data," Physical Review Letters, vol. 114, no. 2, p. 028701, 2015, doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.028701. 2. D. Hernández-Lobato, J. M. Hernández-Lobato, and P. Dupont, "Generalized spike-and-slab priors for bayesian group feature selection using expectation propagation," Journal of Machine Learning Research, vol. 14, no. 23, pp. 1891–1945, 2013.

3. J. M. Hernández-Lobato, D. Hernández-Lobato, and A. Suárez, "Expectation propagation in linear regression models with spike-and-slab priors," *Machine Learning*, vol. 99, no. 3, pp. 437–487, 2015, doi:

10.1007/s10994-014-5475-7. 4. J. Wilson, F. Hutter, and M. Deisenroth, "Maximizing acquisition functions for Bayesian optimization," in 32nd Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems, NeurIPS 2018, Montréal, Canada, Dec.

5. D. R. Jones, M. Schonlau, and W. J. Welch, "Efficient Global Optimization of Expensive Black-Box Functions," Journal of Global Optimization, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 455–492, Dec. 1998, doi: 10.1023/A:1008306431147



Conclusions

• The proposed method outperforms the reconstruction done by random node

• This study has the potential to significantly scale up the reconstruction radically transform the operation of various realistic networked systems including power grid, transportation, and communication networks

• This research finds its critical application in the host of military operation